	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/635,199	HIND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Wood	2193
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	) personnel):	
(1) <u>William H. Wood</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Enhee Park</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 October 2007.	`	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Romer et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed claim language</u> of predicting and impact on the "executing application"; perelation to claim language. No agreements reached.	<u>age to overcome 112 reje</u>	ctions: possible change to claim 1
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTREQuirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ne last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR T ITERVIEW SUMMARY F	Iready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner	's signature, if required